

ON MACAULAYFICATION OF CERTAIN QUASI-PROJECTIVE SCHEMES

TAKESI KAWASAKI

ABSTRACT. The Macaulayfication of a Noetherian scheme X is a birational proper morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ such that Y is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. Of course, a desingularization is a Macaulayfication and Hironaka gave a desingularization of arbitrary algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0. In 1978 Faltings gave a Macaulayfication of a quasi-projective scheme whose non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is of dimension 0 or 1 by a characteristic-free method.

In the present article, we shall construct a Macaulayfication of a quasi-projective scheme if the dimension of its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is at most 2. Of course, our method is independent of the characteristic of a scheme.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Noetherian scheme. A birational proper morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes is said to be a *Macaulayfication* of X if Y is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme. This notion was introduced by Faltings [8] and he established that there exists a Macaulayfication of a quasi-projective scheme over a Noetherian ring possessing a dualizing complex if its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is of dimension 0 or 1. Of course, a desingularization is a Macaulayfication and Hironaka gave a desingularization of arbitrary algebraic variety over a field of characteristic 0. But Faltings' method to construct a Macaulayfication is independent of the characteristic of a scheme. Furthermore, several authors are interested in a Macaulayfication.

For example, Goto and Schenzel independently showed the converse of Faltings' result in a sense. Let A be a Noetherian local ring possessing a dualizing complex, hence its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is closed, and assume that $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = \dim A$ for any associated prime

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14M05, 14B05; Secondary: 13H10, 13A30.

Key words and phrases. blowing-up, desingularization, Macaulayfication, Rees algebra.

The author is partially supported by Grant-Aid for Co-operative Research.

ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A . Then the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of A consists of only the maximal ideal if and only if A is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring but not a Cohen-Macaulay ring [16]. When this is the case, Faltings [8, Satz 2] showed that there exists a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of A such that the blowing-up $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ of $\text{Spec } A$ with center $\mathfrak{q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, where t denotes an indeterminate. Conversely, Goto [9] proved that if there is a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of A such that $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then A is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring. Moreover, he showed that A is Buchsbaum if and only if $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ is Cohen-Macaulay for every parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of A : see also [20].

Brodmann [3] also studied the blowing-up of a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with center a parameter ideal. Furthermore, he constructed Macaulayfications in a quite different way from Faltings. Let A be a Noetherian local ring possessing a dualizing complex. We let $d = \dim A$ and s be the dimension of its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus. If $s = 0$, then Brodmann [4, Proposition 2.13] gave an ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ of height $d - 1$ such that $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{b}t]$ is Cohen-Macaulay. If $s = 1$, then Faltings' Macaulayfication [8, Satz 3] of $\text{Spec } A$ consists of two consecutive blowing-ups $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ where the center of the first blowing-up is an ideal of height $d - 1$. In this case, Brodmann gave two other Macaulayfications of $\text{Spec } A$: the first one [1] is the composite of a blowing-up $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ with center an ideal of height $d - 1$ and a finite morphism $Y \rightarrow X$; the second one [4, Corollary 3.11] consists of two consecutive blowing-ups $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ where the center of the first blowing-up is an ideal of height $d - 2$.

In this article, we are interested in a Macaulayfication of the Noetherian scheme whose non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is of dimension 2. Let A be a Noetherian ring possessing a dualizing complex and X a quasi-projective scheme over A . Then X has a dualizing complex with codimension function v . Furthermore the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus V of X is closed. We define a function $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ to be $u(p) = v(p) + \dim \overline{\{p\}}$. We will establish the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *If $\dim V \leq 2$ and u is locally constant on V , then X has a Macaulayfication.*

If $\dim V \leq 1$, then u is always locally constant on V . Therefore, this theorem contains Faltings' result. Furthermore, we note if X is a projective scheme over a Gorenstein local ring, then u is constant on X .

We agree that A denotes a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ except for Section 6. Assume that $d = \dim A > 0$. We refer the reader to [11, 12, 15, 21] for unexplained terminology.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we state some definitions and properties of a local cohomology and an ideal transform. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of A .

Definition 2.1. The local cohomology functor $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-)$ and the ideal transform functor $D_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-)$ with respect to $\mathfrak{b}$ are defined to be

$$H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-) = \varinjlim_m \operatorname{Ext}_A^p(A/\mathfrak{b}^m, -) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-) = \varinjlim_m \operatorname{Ext}_A^p(\mathfrak{b}^m, -),$$

respectively.

For an A -module M , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\iota} D_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{b}}^1(M) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1.1)$$

and isomorphisms

$$D_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{b}}^{p+1}(M) \quad \text{for all } p > 0.$$

They induces that

$$H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p D_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 0, 1; \\ H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(M), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1.2)$$

If $\mathfrak{b}$ contains an M -regular element a , then we can regard $D_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M)$ as a submodule of the localization M_a with respect to a and ι is the inclusion.

It is well-known that $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-)$ is naturally isomorphic to the direct limit of Koszul cohomology. In particular, let $\mathfrak{b} = (f_1, \dots, f_h)$ and M be an A -module. Then

$$H_{\mathfrak{b}}^h(M) = \varinjlim_m M/(f_1^m, \dots, f_h^m)M \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\mathfrak{b}}^0(M) = \bigcap_{i=1}^h 0 :_M \langle f_i \rangle,$$

where $0 : \langle f_i \rangle$ denotes $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} 0 : f_i^m$. Furthermore, let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Then there exists a natural isomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{b}B}^p(M)$ for a B -module M .

The following lemma is frequently used in this article.

Lemma 2.2 (Brodmann [2]). *Let $\mathfrak{b} = (f_1, \dots, f_h)$ and $\mathfrak{c} = (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1})$ be two ideals. Then there exists a natural long exact sequence*

$$\dots \rightarrow [H_{\mathfrak{c}}^{p-1}(-)]_{f_h} \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{b}}^p(-) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{c}}^p(-) \rightarrow [H_{\mathfrak{c}}^p(-)]_{f_h} \rightarrow \dots$$

Next we state on the annihilator of local cohomology modules.

Definition 2.3. For any finitely generated A -module M , we define an ideal $\mathfrak{a}_A(M)$ to be

$$\mathfrak{a}_A(M) = \prod_{p=0}^{\dim M - 1} \operatorname{ann} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^p(M).$$

We note that a finitely generated A -module M is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathfrak{a}_A(M) = A$, and that M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathfrak{a}_A(M)$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. The notion of $\mathfrak{a}_A(-)$ plays a key role in this article. In fact, Schenzel [17] showed that $V(\mathfrak{a}_A(A))$ coincides with the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of A if it possesses a dualizing complex and is equidimensional. He also gave the following lemma [17, 18]:

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be a finitely generated A -module and $x_1, \dots, x_n$ a system of parameters for M . Then $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : x_i \subseteq (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M : \mathfrak{a}_A(M)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, if $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}_A(M)$, then the equality holds.*

Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a Noetherian graded ring where $R_0 = A$. A graded module $M = \bigoplus_n M_n$ is said to be *finitely graded* if $M_n = 0$ for all but finitely many n . The following lemma is an easy consequence of [7].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a homogeneous ideal of R containing $R_+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n$ and M a finitely graded R -module. We assume that A possesses a dualizing complex. Let p be the largest integer such that, for all $q \leq p$, $H_{\mathfrak{b}}^q(M)$ is finitely graded. Then $\text{depth } M_{(\mathfrak{p})} \geq p$ for any closed point $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\text{Proj } R$, that is, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a homogeneous prime ideal such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1$ and $R_+ \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.*

3. A REES ALGEBRA OBTAINED BY AN IDEAL TRANSFORM

Definition 3.1. A sequence $f_1, \dots, f_h$ of elements of A is said to be a d -sequence on an A -module M if $(f_1, \dots, f_{i-1})M : f_i f_j = (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1})M : f_j$ for any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq h$.

We shall say that $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is an unconditioned strong d -sequence (for short, *u.s.d-sequence*) on M if $f_1^{n_1}, \dots, f_h^{n_h}$ is a d -sequence on M in any order and for arbitrary positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_h$.

The notion of u.s.d-sequences was introduced by Goto and Yamagishi [10] to refine arguments on Buchsbaum rings and generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings. Their theory contains Brodmann's study on the Rees algebra with respect to an ideal generated by a pS-sequences [3]. But Brodmann [5] also studied the ideal transform of such a Rees algebra. The purpose of this section is to study an ideal transform of the Rees algebra with respect to an ideal generated by a u.s.d-sequence.

Let $f_0, \dots, f_h$ be a sequence of elements of A where $h \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{q} = (f_1, \dots, f_h)$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $f_1, \dots, f_h$ be a d -sequence on A/f_0A , then*

$$[(f_1, \dots, f_h)\mathfrak{q}^n] : f_0 = (f_1, \dots, f_h)[\mathfrak{q}^n : f_0] + 0 : f_0$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq h$ and $n > 0$.

Proof. It is obvious that the left hand side contains the right one. We shall prove the inverse inclusion by induction on k . Let a be an element of the left hand side.

When $k = 1$, we put $f_0a = f_1b$ where $b \in \mathfrak{q}^n$. By using [10, Theorem 1.3], we obtain $b \in (f_0):f_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}^n \subseteq (f_0)$. If we put $b = f_0a'$, then $a' \in \mathfrak{q}^n:f_0$ and $f_0(a - f_1a') = 0$. Thus we get $a \in f_1[\mathfrak{q}^n:f_0] + 0:f_0$.

When $k > 1$, we put $f_0a = b + f_kc$ where $b \in (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})\mathfrak{q}^n$ and $c \in \mathfrak{q}^n$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &\in (f_0, \dots, f_{k-1}):f_k \cap \mathfrak{q}^n \\ &\subseteq (f_0) + (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})\mathfrak{q}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

by using [10, Theorem 1.3] again. If we put $c = f_0a' + b'$ where

$$b' \in (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})\mathfrak{q}^{n-1},$$

then $a' \in \mathfrak{q}^n:f_0$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} a - f_ka' &\in [(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})\mathfrak{q}^n]:f_0 \\ &= (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})[\mathfrak{q}^n:f_0] + 0:f_0 \end{aligned}$$

by induction hypothesis. The proof is completed. $\square$

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q}:\langle f_0 \rangle$. If f_0 is A -regular and $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is a d -sequence on A/f_0^lA for all $l > 0$, then Lemma 3.2 assures us that

$$\mathfrak{q}^{n-1}\overline{\mathfrak{q}} = \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^n = \mathfrak{q}^n:\langle f_0 \rangle \quad \text{for all } n > 0. \quad (3.2.1)$$

Therefore the Rees algebra $\overline{R} = A[\overline{\mathfrak{q}}t]$ is finitely generated over $R = A[\mathfrak{q}t]$. The following is an analogue of [9, Lemma 3.4].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $B = A[\overline{\mathfrak{q}}/f_h] = \overline{R}_{(f_h t)}$. If f_0 is A -regular and $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is a d -sequence on A/f_0^lA for all $l > 0$, then $f_h, f_1/f_h, \dots, f_{h-1}/f_h, f_0$ is a regular sequence on B .*

Proof. First we note that $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is a d -sequence on A . In fact, by using Krull's intersection theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}):f_if_j &= \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} (f_0^l, f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}):f_if_j \\ &= \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} (f_0^l, f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}):f_j \\ &= (f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}):f_j \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq h$. Next we show that

$$(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}):f_k \cap \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^n = (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})\overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n-1}, \quad (3.3.1)$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq h+1$ and $n > 1$, where $f_{h+1} = 1$. If a is an element of the left hand side, then $f_0^l a \in \mathfrak{q}^n$ for a sufficiently large l . By [10, Theorem 1.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^l a &\in (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}) : f_k \cap \mathfrak{q}^n \\ &= (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2 says

$$a \in [(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}] : \langle f_0 \rangle = (f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}) \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n-1}.$$

The inverse inclusion is clear. By (3.3.1) and [10, Theorem 1.7], we obtain that

$$f_h, \frac{f_1}{f_h}, \dots, \frac{f_{h-1}}{f_h}$$

is a regular sequence on B .

Finally we shall show that f_0 is regular on $B/(f_h, f_1/f_h, \dots, f_{h-1}/f_h)B$. Let $\alpha \in (f_h, f_1/f_h, \dots, f_{h-1}/f_h)B : f_0$. For a sufficiently large $n > 1$, we may assume $\alpha = a_0/f_h^n$ and

$$f_0 \frac{a_0}{f_h^n} = f_h \frac{a_h}{f_h^n} + \frac{f_1}{f_h} \frac{a_1}{f_h^n} + \dots + \frac{f_{h-1}}{f_h} \frac{a_{h-1}}{f_h^n}$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_h \in \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^n$. Therefore

$$f_h^{m+1} f_0 a_0 = f_h^m (f_h^2 a_h + f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_{h-1} a_{h-1})$$

in A for some $m > 0$. Take an integer l such that $f_0^l a_h \in \mathfrak{q}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_h^{m+2} f_0^l a_h &\in (f_0^{l+1}, f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+2} \\ &= (f_0^{l+1}) \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+2} + (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+1} \\ &\subseteq f_0^{l+1} \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m+2} + (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$f_h^{m+2} f_0^l a_h = f_0^{l+1} b_0 + f_1 b_1 + \dots + f_{h-1} b_{h-1}$$

where $b_0 \in \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m+2}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_{h-1} \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} f_h^{m+2} a_h - f_0 b_0 &\in [(f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m+1}] : \langle f_0 \rangle \\ &= (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$f_h^{m+2} a_h - f_0 b_0 = f_1 c_1 + \dots + f_{h-1} c_{h-1}$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_{h-1} \in \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m+1}$. Then

$$f_0 (f_h^{m+1} a_0 - b_0) \in (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m}.$$

Therefore

$$f_h^{m+1} a_0 - b_0 \in (f_1, \dots, f_{h-1}) \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m},$$

that is,

$$\alpha - f_h \frac{b_0}{f_h^{n+m+2}} \in \left(\frac{f_1}{f_h}, \dots, \frac{f_{h-1}}{f_h} \right) B.$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

In the rest of this section, we assume that f_0 is A -regular and that $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is a u.s.d.-sequence on $A/f_0^l A$ for all $l > 0$. Let $G = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{q}^n / \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}$ and $\overline{G} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^n / \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+1}$ be associated graded rings with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}$, respectively. We shall compute local cohomology modules of $\overline{G}$ and $\overline{R}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{R} = (f_0, \dots, f_h)R + R_+$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $p < h + 1$, then*

$$[H_{\mathfrak{R}}^p(\overline{G})]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 1 - p.$$

Furthermore

$$[H_{\mathfrak{R}}^{h+1}(\overline{G})]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > -h.$$

Proof. We shall prove that

$$[H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_k t)}^p(\overline{G})]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 1 - p \quad (3.4.1)$$

if $p < k + 1$ by induction on k . It is obvious that f_0 is $\overline{G}$ -regular. Therefore $H_{(f_0)}^0(\overline{G}) = 0$.

Suppose $k > 0$. Then $H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_{k-1} t)}^p(\overline{G})_{f_k t} = 0$ for $p < k$ by induction hypothesis. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain isomorphisms

$$H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_k t)}^p(\overline{G}) \cong H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_{k-1} t)}^p(\overline{G}) \quad \text{for } p < k.$$

Therefore (3.4.1) is proved if $p < k$. We also obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_k t)}^k(\overline{G}) \rightarrow H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_{k-1} t)}^k(\overline{G}) \rightarrow H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_{k-1} t)}^k(\overline{G})_{f_k t}$$

from Lemma 2.2. Hence $H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_k t)}^k(\overline{G})$ is the limit of the direct system $\{K_m\}_{m > 0}$ such that

$$K_m = \frac{(f_0^m, (f_1 t)^m, \dots, (f_{k-1} t)^m) \overline{G} : \langle f_k t \rangle}{(f_0^m, (f_1 t)^m, \dots, (f_{k-1} t)^m) \overline{G}} (m(k-1)) \quad \text{for } m > 0$$

and the homomorphism $K_m \rightarrow K_{m'}$ is induced from the multiplication of $(f_0 \cdot f_1 t \cdots f_{k-1} t)^{m'-m}$ for any $m' > m$. We shall show that it is the zero map except for degree $1 - k$ if m' is sufficiently larger than m .

Let α be a homogeneous element of K_m of degree n and a its representative. That is, $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)}$ and

$$f_k^l a \in f_0^m \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-2)+l} + \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1}$$

for some $l > 0$. Take an integer $m' > m$ such that $f_0^{m'-m}\bar{\mathfrak{q}} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Then $f_0^{m'-m}\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^n$ for any $n > 0$ by (3.2.1). By replacing α by its image in $K_{m'}$, we may assume that $a \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)}$ and

$$f_k^l a \in f_0^m \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)+l} + \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1}.$$

We put $f_k^l a = b + c$ where $b \in f_0^m \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)+l}$ and $c \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1}$. Then, by using [10, Theorem 2.6], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &\in (f_0^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m, f_k^l) \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1} \\ &\subseteq f_0^m \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)+l+1} + f_k^l \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If we put $c = b' + f_k^l a'$ where $b' \in f_0^m \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)+l+1} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)+l+1}$ and $a' \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+1}$, then $a - a'$ is also a representative of α . Therefore we may assume that $c = 0$.

By using [10, Theorem 2.8], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (f_0^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) : f_k \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)} \\ &= (f_0^m) \cap \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \#I \cdot (m-1) \geq n+m(k-1)}} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} f_i^{m-1} \right\} \{[(f_0^m) + (f_i \mid i \in I)] : f_k\} \\ &\subseteq f_0^m \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+m(k-1)} + (f_1^m, \dots, f_{k-1}^m) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-2)} + \mathfrak{q}^{n+m(k-1)+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k-1\} \\ \#I \cdot (m-1) = n+m(k-1)}} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} f_i^{m-1} \right\} \{[(f_0^m) + (f_i \mid i \in I)] : f_k\} \end{aligned}$$

Here $\#I$ denotes the number of elements in I . If $n > 1 - k$, then there is no subset I of $\{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\#I \cdot (m-1) = n + m(k-1)$. If $n < 1 - k$, then such I is a proper subset. Let $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} \setminus I$ and

$$d \in [(f_0^m) + (f_i \mid i \in I)] : f_k = [(f_0^m) + (f_i \mid i \in I)] : f_j.$$

Then

$$(f_0 \cdots f_{k-1}) \left\{ \prod_{i \in I} f_i^{m-1} \right\} d \in f_0^{m+1} \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+(m+1)(k-1)} + (f_1^{m+1}, \dots, f_{k-1}^{m+1}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+(m+1)(k-2)}.$$

In fact, if we put $f_j d = f_0^m e + g$ where $g \in (f_i \mid i \in I)$, then $e \in \bar{\mathfrak{q}}$. Thus the image of α in K_{m+1} is zero if $n \neq 1 - k$.

Put $k = h$. Then

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\bar{G})]_n = [H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_h t)}^p(\bar{G})]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 1 - p$$

if $p < h + 1$. The first assertion is proved.

Next we compute $H_{(f_0, f_1 t, \dots, f_h t)}^{h+1}(\overline{G})$. It is the limit of the direct system $\{K'_m\}_{m>0}$ such that

$$K'_m = \overline{G}/(f_0^m, (f_1 t)^m, \dots, (f_h t)^m) \overline{G} (mh) \quad \text{for } m > 0$$

and the homomorphism $K'_m \rightarrow K'_{m'}$ is induced from the multiplication of $(f_0 \cdot f_1 t \cdots f_h t)^{m'-m}$ for any $m' > m$. We shall show that it is the zero map for degree $n > -h$ if m' is sufficiently larger than m .

Let α be a homogeneous element of K'_m of degree n and a its representative. That is, $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^{n+mh}$. If $n > -h$, then

$$(f_0 \cdots f_h)^{m'-m} a \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+m'h} = (f_1^{m'}, \dots, f_h^{m'}) \mathfrak{q}^{n+m'(h-1)}$$

for a sufficiently larger m' than m . Thus the image of α in $K'_{m'}$ is zero if $n > -h$. Therefore $[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^{h+1}(\overline{G})]_n = 0$ for $n > -h$. $\square$

By this theorem, we can compute local cohomology of $\overline{R}$.

Corollary 3.5. *If $h = 1, 2$, then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\overline{R}) = 0 \quad \text{for } p \neq 1, h+2$$

$$\text{and } H_{\mathfrak{N}}^1(\overline{R}) = [H_{\mathfrak{N}}^1(\overline{R})]_0 = H_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^1(A).$$

If $h \geq 3$, then

$$H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\overline{R}) = 0 \quad \text{for } p = 0, 2, 3$$

and $H_{\mathfrak{N}}^1(\overline{R}) = [H_{\mathfrak{N}}^1(\overline{R})]_0 = H_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^1(A)$. Furthermore, if $4 \leq p \leq h+1$, then

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\overline{R})]_n = \begin{cases} H_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^{p-1}(A), & \text{for } -1 \geq n \geq 3-p; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Passing through the completion, we may assume that A possesses a dualizing complex. Since $H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\overline{G})$ is finitely graded for $p < h+1$, $H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\overline{R})$ is finitely graded for $p \leq h+1$ [14, Proposition 3]. Considering the following two exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{R}_+ \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \overline{R}_+(1) \rightarrow \overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the assertion: see the proof of [5, Theorem 4.1]. $\square$

Let $S = \overline{R}/R$, that is, $S = \bigoplus_{n>0} \overline{\mathfrak{q}}^n/\mathfrak{q}^n$. The following proposition shall play an important role in the next section.

Proposition 3.6. *If $p < h$, then*

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(S)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 1-p.$$

Moreover,

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^h(S)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 1-h.$$

Proof. In the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we find that $f_1, \dots, f_h$ is a u.s.d-sequence on A . Hence, by using [10, Theorem 4.2],

$$[H_{(f_1 t, \dots, f_h t)}^p(G)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq -p$$

if $p < h$. Furthermore,

$$[H_{(f_1 t, \dots, f_h t)}^h(G)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > -h.$$

By using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(G)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n \neq 1 - p, -p$$

if $p < h$ and

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(G)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 1 - p$$

if $p = h, h + 1$.

Since $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^2 = \mathfrak{q}\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S(1) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\phi} \bar{G} \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0.$$

Let T be the image of ϕ . We shall show

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(S)]_n = [H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(T)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 1 - p$$

by induction on $h - p$. If $p > h + 1$, then the assertion is obvious. Let $p \leq h + 1$. Then following two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(\bar{G}) &\rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(S) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^{p+1}(T) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^{p+1}(\bar{G}), \\ H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(G) &\rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(T) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^{p+1}(S)(1) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{N}}^{p+1}(G) \end{aligned}$$

and the induction hypothesis imply

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(S)]_n = [H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(T)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 1 - p.$$

In the same way, we can prove that

$$[H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(S)]_n = [H_{\mathfrak{N}}^p(T)]_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n < 1 - p$$

if $p < h$ by induction on p . □

Finally we show that $\bar{R}$ is an ideal transform of R in a sense.

Proposition 3.7. $\bar{R}_+ = D_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^0(R_+)$.

Proof. We first show that f_0, f_1 is a regular sequence on $\bar{R}_+$. Let $n > 0$. Since f_0 is A -regular, it is also $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n$ -regular. Let $a \in [f_0 \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n] : f_1 \cap \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n$. Then $f_0^l a \in \mathfrak{q}^n$ for a sufficiently large l . Since $f_1 a \in (f_0)$, we have $f_0^l a \in (f_0^{l+1}) : f_1 \cap \mathfrak{q}^n \subseteq f_0^{l+1} \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n$, that is, $a \in f_0 \bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n$. Thus we have shown that f_1 is $\bar{R}_+ / f_0 \bar{R}_+$ -regular.

By this and (2.1.1), we obtain

$$D_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^0(R_+) \subseteq D_{(f_0, \dots, f_h)}^0(\bar{R}_+) = \bar{R}_+. \quad (3.7.1)$$

Since $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}^n = \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ for $n \geq 2$, $(f_0^l, f_1, \dots, f_h)\bar{R}_+ \subseteq R_+$ for a sufficiently large l . Hence, we obtain the inverse inclusion of (3.7.1). The proof is completed. $\square$

4. A BLOWING-UP WITH RESPECT TO A CERTAIN SUBSYSTEM OF PARAMETERS

In this section, we assume that A possesses a dualizing complex. We fix an integer $s \geq \dim A/\mathfrak{a}_A(A)$. Since $\dim A/\mathfrak{a}_A(M) < \dim M$ for any finitely generated A -module M [19, Korollar 2.2.4], there exists a system of parameters $x_1, \dots, x_d$ for A such that

$$\begin{cases} x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{a}_A(A); \\ x_i \in \mathfrak{a}_A(A/(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)), \quad \text{for } i \leq s. \end{cases} \quad (4.0.1)$$

This notion is a slight improvement of a $\mathfrak{p}$ -standard system of parameters, which was introduced by Cuong [6]. He also gave the statement (1) of Theorem 4.2. The author was personally taught it by him.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $n_1, \dots, n_i$ be arbitrary positive integers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_i^{n_i} \cap (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_k, \dots, x_d) \\ = (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq i \leq k \leq d$.

Proof. It is obvious that the left hand side contains the right one. Let a be an element of the left hand side and $a = b + x_k c$ where $b \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_i^{n_i} x_k \\ = (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_k \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $x_k c, a \in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_d)$. The proof is completed. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)$. Lemma 2.2 assures us that $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a u.s.d-sequence on A . Furthermore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. (1) *The sequences $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_s^{n_s}, x_{\sigma(s+1)}^{n_{s+1}}, \dots, x_{\sigma(d)}^{n_d}$ is a d -sequence on A for any positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_d$ and for any permutation σ on $s+1, \dots, d$.*

(2) *If $s > 0$, then $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_s^{n_s}$ is a d -sequence on $A/\mathfrak{q}^n$ for any positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_s$ and n .*

Proof. (1): Let $1 \leq i \leq j \leq d$. We have only to prove that

$$(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} = (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : x_j^{n_j}$$

for any positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_d$. If $j > s$, then the both sides are equal to $(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : \mathfrak{a}_A(A)$.

Assume that $j \leq s$ and take an element a of the left hand side. By using Lemma 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} \\ &= (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_j^{n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_j^{n_j} a &\in (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : x_i^{n_i} \cap (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_d) \\ &= (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

by repeating to use Lemma 4.1.

(2): If $n = 1$, then the assertion is proved in the same way as above. Let $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$ and $n > 1$. Then $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a d-sequence on $A/(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j})$. By using Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) + \mathfrak{q}^n] : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} \\ &= (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j} + \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} [(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_i^{n_i} x_j^{n_j}] \\ &= (x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) : x_j^{n_j} + \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} [(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_j^{n_j}] \\ &\subseteq [(x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}}) + \mathfrak{q}^n] : x_j^{n_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality follows from the case of $n = 1$. Thus the proof is completed. $\square$

In the same way as the proof of Theorem 3.3, we find that any subsequence of $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_d^{n_d}$ is a d-sequence on A and any subsequence of $x_1^{n_1}, \dots, x_s^{n_s}$ is a d-sequence on $A/\mathfrak{q}^n$ for arbitrary positive integers $n_1, \dots, n_d$ and n .

Corollary 4.3. *Fix an integer k such that $1 \leq k \leq d$. Then*

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_d)}^p(A) = \varinjlim_m \frac{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+p-1}^m) : x_{k+p}}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+p-1}^m)} \quad \text{for } p < d - k + 1.$$

Proof. We shall prove that

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(A) = \varinjlim_m \frac{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+p-1}^m) : x_{k+p}}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+p-1}^m)} \quad \text{for } p < l - k + 1$$

by induction on $l \geq k$. If $l = k$, then $H_{(x_k)}^0(A) = 0 :_A x_k$.

Suppose $l > k$. Then $x_k, \dots, x_{l-1}$ is a regular sequence on A_{x_l} because $x_k, \dots, x_l$ is a d-sequence on A . Hence we obtain isomorphisms

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(A) \cong H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^p(A) \quad \text{for all } p < l - k$$

and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^{l-k}(A) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(A) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(A)_{x_l}$$

by Lemma 2.2. This exact sequence is the direct limit of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) : x_l}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m)} \rightarrow A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) \rightarrow [A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m)]_{x_l}$$

Thus the proof is completed. $\square$

If $s = 0$, then $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{q}t] \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is a Macaulayfication of $\text{Spec } A$: see Theorem 5.1 for details. In the rest of this section, we shall observe $\text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ when $s > 0$. Assume that $s > 0$ and fix an integer k such that $1 \leq k \leq s$. We shall compute local cohomology modules of $R = A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ with respect to $(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})$. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m}R + R_+$.

Theorem 4.4. $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^0(R) = 0 :_A x_k$.

Proof. Since $x_k, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a d-sequence on A , $0 :_A x_k \cap \mathfrak{q}^n = 0$ for $n > 0$ by [10, Theorem 1.3]. That is,

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^0(\mathfrak{q}^n) = \begin{cases} 0 :_A x_k, & \text{if } n = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^0(R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^0(\mathfrak{q}^n) = 0 :_A x_k$. $\square$

Let $C = A[t]/R$, that is, $C = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A/\mathfrak{q}^n$.

Lemma 4.5. For $k \leq l \leq s+1$ and $p \leq l-k$, the natural homomorphism

$$\alpha_l^p : H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(A[t]) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(C)$$

is a monomorphism except for degree 0.

Proof. We shall work by induction on l . If $l = k$, then $0 :_A x_k \cap \mathfrak{q}^n = 0$ for $n > 0$. Therefore

$$\alpha_k^0 : 0 :_{A[t]} x_k \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n > 0} \mathfrak{q}^n : x_k / \mathfrak{q}^n$$

is a monomorphism except for degree 0. Let $k < l \leq s$. Then $x_k, \dots, x_{l-1}$ is a regular sequence on A_{x_l} and on C_{x_l} by Theorem 4.2. By using Lemma 2.2, we obtain commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(A[t]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^p(A[t]) \\ \alpha_l^p \downarrow & & \alpha_{l-1}^p \downarrow \\ H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^p(C) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^p(C) \end{array} \quad \text{for } p < l - k$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^{l-k}(A[t]) & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(A[t]) & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(A[t])_{x_l} \\
& & \alpha_l^{l-k} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_l)}^{l-k}(C) & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(C) & \longrightarrow & H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{l-1})}^{l-k}(C)_{x_l}
\end{array}$$

whose rows are exact. Therefore the assertion is true for $p < l - k$ and we find that α_l^{l-k} is the direct limit of

$$\alpha_{l,m} : \frac{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m)A[t] : x_l}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m)A[t]} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{[(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^n] : x_l}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^n}.$$

Since $x_l, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a d-sequence on $A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m)$,

$$(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) : x_l \cap [(x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^n] = (x_k^m, \dots, x_{l-1}^m) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Therefore $\alpha_{l,m}$ is a monomorphism except for degree 0 and α_l^{l-k} is also.

If $l = s + 1$, then $x_k, \dots, x_s$ is a regular sequence on $A_{x_{s+1}}$ and $C_{x_{s+1}} = 0$. The assertion is proved in the same way as above. $\square$

Of course, α_{s+1}^p is the zero map in degree 0. Therefore there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^{p-1} \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^p(R) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^p(A) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.5.1)$$

for $0 < p \leq s - k + 1$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $0 \leq q \leq s - k$. Then*

$$(x_{k+q}, \dots, x_d) \text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q = 0$$

and $H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p(\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q)$ is finitely graded for $p < d - s$.

Proof. We know that $\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q = \text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q}^q = \varinjlim_m \text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q,m}$ and

$$\text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q,m} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \frac{[(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^n] : x_{k+q}}{(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) : x_{k+q} + \mathfrak{q}^n}.$$

By using Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) + \mathfrak{q}^n] : x_{k+q} \\
&= (x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) : x_{k+q} + \mathfrak{q}^{n-1} [(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d) : x_{k+q}].
\end{aligned} \quad (4.6.1)$$

Therefore $\text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q,m}$ is annihilated by $(x_{k+q}, \dots, x_d)$ and $\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q$ is also.

Next we compute local cohomology modules of $\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q$. We note that x_{k+q} is a regular element on $A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) : x_{k+q}$ and that

$x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a u.s.d-sequence on $A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_{k+q-1}^m) : x_{k+q} + (x_{k+q}^l)$ for any $l > 0$: see [13, Proposition 2.2]. Therefore, by Proposition 3.6,

$$H_{(x_{k+q}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p(\text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q,m}) \text{ is concentrated in degree } 1-p \quad (4.6.2)$$

if $p < d-s$. Hence $H_{(x_{k+q}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p(\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q)$ is also. By the spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{(x_{k+q}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p H_{\mathfrak{M}}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{M}}^{p+q}(-)$, we obtain the second assertion. $\square$

Next we compute $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(R)$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $A_m = A/(x_k^m, \dots, x_s^m)$ and $\mathfrak{q}_m = \mathfrak{q}A_m$ for any positive integer m . Then*

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(R) = \varinjlim_{m,l} A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t] / x_{s+1}^l A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t].$$

In particular, $H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(R)$ is finitely graded for $p < d-s$.

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k}(A[t]) &\xrightarrow{\alpha_s^{s-k}} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k}(C) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k+1}(R) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k+1}(A[t]) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k+1}(C). \end{aligned}$$

Since β is the direct limit of

$$A[t]/(x_k^m, \dots, x_s^m)A[t] \rightarrow C/(x_k^m, \dots, x_s^m)C,$$

we have $\text{Ker } \beta = \varinjlim_m A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t]$. Taking local cohomology modules of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha_s^{s-k} \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k+1}(R) \rightarrow \text{Ker } \beta \rightarrow 0$$

with respect to (x_{s+1}) , we obtain

$$H_{(x_{s+1})}^1 H_{(x_k, \dots, x_s)}^{s-k+1}(R) = H_{(x_{s+1})}^1(\text{Ker } \beta), \quad (4.7.1)$$

because $\text{Coker } \alpha_s^{s-k} = \text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^{s-k}$ is annihilated by x_{s+1} . The left hand side of (4.7.1) coincides with $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(R)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus the first assertion is proved.

Since $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a u.s.d-sequence on A_m , $H_{(x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p(A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t])$ is concentrated in degree $0 \geq n \geq s-d+2$ if $p \leq d-s$: see [10, Theorem 4.1]. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 0_{A_m} : x_{s+1} \rightarrow A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t] \xrightarrow{x_{s+1}^l} A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t] \rightarrow A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t] / x_{s+1}^l A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t] \rightarrow 0$$

and the spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p H_{(x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{M}}^{p+q}(-)$, we find that

$$H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p(A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t]/x_{s+1}^l A_m[\mathfrak{q}_m t]) \text{ is concentrated in degree } 0 \geq n \geq s-d+2 \quad (4.7.2)$$

if $p < d-s$. Taking the direct limit of it, we obtain the second assertion. $\square$

Finally we compute local cohomology modules of $B = A[\mathfrak{q}/x_{s+1}] = R_{(x_{s+1}t)}$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of B . Then*

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B) = 0 \quad \text{if } q = 0 \text{ or } p < d-s-1.$$

Furthermore $(x_{k+q-1}, \dots, x_{s+1})H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B) = 0$ for $q < s-k+2$.

Proof. Since the blowing-up $\text{Proj } R \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is a closed map, there exists a homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R such that $x_{s+1}t \notin \mathfrak{p}$, $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1$ and $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{p}R_{x_{s+1}t}]_0$.

Since x_{s+1} is B -regular, $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^0(B) = 0$.

Let $1 \leq q \leq s-k+1$. By applying Lemma 2.5 to (4.6.2), we obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}}^p((\text{Coker } \alpha_{k+q-1, m})_{(x_{s+1}t)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d-s-1.$$

By taking the direct limit of it and using (4.5.1), we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d-s-1.$$

Moreover Theorem 4.6 also assures us $(x_{k+q-1}, \dots, x_{s+1})H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B) = 0$.

Next we consider $H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(B)$. By applying Lemma 2.5 to (4.7.2) and by taking direct limit, we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(B) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d-s-1.$$

Thus the proof is completed. $\square$

5. MACAULAYFICATIONS OF LOCAL RINGS

In this section, we shall construct a Macaulayfication of the affine scheme $\text{Spec } A$ if its non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is of dimension 2. Assume that A possesses a dualizing complex and $\dim A/\mathfrak{p} = d$ for any associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A . Then $V(\mathfrak{a}_A(A))$ coincides with the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of A . We fix an integer $s \geq \dim A/\mathfrak{a}_A(A)$ and let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be a system of parameters for A satisfying (4.0.1).

First we refine Faltings' results [8, Satz 2, 3]. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)$, $R = A[\mathfrak{q}t]$ and $X = \text{Proj } R$.

Theorem 5.1. *With notation as above,*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \geq d - s \quad \text{for any closed point } p \text{ of } X.$$

If $s = 0$ or $A/\mathfrak{q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then X is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. Since $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d$ is a u.s.d-sequence on A , $H_{(x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p(R)$ is finitely graded for $p \leq d - s$: see [10, Theorem 4.1]. By using Lemma 2.5, we obtain the first assertion.

Furthermore since $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,p} = d$ for any closed point p of X , X is Cohen-Macaulay if $s = 0$.

Assume that $s > 0$ and $A/\mathfrak{q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $x_1, \dots, x_s$ is a regular sequence on $A/\mathfrak{q}$. We use theorems in Section 4 as $k = 1$. From (4.6.1), we find that $\text{Coker } \alpha_{s+1}^q = 0$ for all $q \leq s - 1$. That is, $H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p H_{(x_1, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(R)$ is finitely graded if $p < d - s$ or $q < s + 1$. By the spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p H_{(x_1, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{M}}^{p+q}(-)$, we find that $H_{\mathfrak{M}}^p(R)$ is finitely graded for $p < d + 1$. Lemma 2.5 assures us

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \geq d \quad \text{for any closed point } p \text{ of } X.$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

From now on, we assume that $s > 0$.

Since x_s is A -regular, $\mathfrak{q}$ is a reduction of $\overline{\mathfrak{q}} = \mathfrak{q} : x_s$ by (3.2.1). We put $\overline{R} = A[\overline{\mathfrak{q}}t]$ and $\overline{X} = \text{Proj } \overline{R}$. Then $\overline{X} \rightarrow X$ is a finite morphism.

Theorem 5.2. *With notation as above,*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}, \overline{p}} \geq d - s + 1 \quad \text{for any closed point } \overline{p} \text{ of } \overline{X}$$

In particular, if $s = 1$, then $\overline{X}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. By Corollary 3.5, $H_{(x_s, \dots, x_d)R+R_+}^p(\overline{R})$ is finitely graded for $p \leq d - s + 1$. By using Lemma 2.5, we obtain the assertion. $\square$

Next we consider an ideal $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{q}^2 + x_s \mathfrak{q} = (x_s, \dots, x_d) \mathfrak{q}$. We put $S = A[\mathfrak{b}t]$ and $Y = \text{Proj } S$. Then Y is the blowing-up of X with center $(x_s, \dots, x_d) \mathcal{O}_X$.

Theorem 5.3. *With notation as above,*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{Y,q} \geq d - s + 1 \quad \text{for any closed point } q \text{ of } Y.$$

Furthermore, if $s = 1$ or A is Cohen-Macaulay, then Y is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. Since $(x_s x_{s+1}, \dots, x_s x_d, x_{s+1}^2, \dots, x_d^2) \mathfrak{b}^{d-s-1} = \mathfrak{b}^{d-s}$, we have only to compute the depth of $C_0 = A[\mathfrak{b}/x_s x_{s+1}]$ and $C_1 = A[\mathfrak{b}/x_{s+1}^2]$. If we

put $B = A[\mathfrak{q}/x_{s+1}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= B[x_{s+1}/x_s] \cong B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1}) : \langle x_s \rangle, \\ C_1 &= B[x_s/x_{s+1}] \cong B[T]/(x_{s+1} T - x_s) : \langle x_{s+1} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where T denotes an indeterminate. We note that B, C_0, C_1 are sub-rings of the total quotient ring of A because $x_1, \dots, x_d$ are A -regular elements.

First we consider C_0 . We regard it as a homomorphic image of $B[T]$. Let $\mathfrak{l}_0$ be a maximal ideal of C_0 and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{l}_0 \cap B$. Then $\mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal ideal of B because $\text{Spec } C_0 \cup \text{Spec } C_1 \rightarrow \text{Spec } B$ is a blowing-up with center $(x_s, x_{s+1})B$, hence a closed map. There exists a polynomial f over B such that $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{n}C_0 + fC_0$ and the leading coefficient of f is not contained in $\mathfrak{n}$.

By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 4.8, we have, for any $1 \leq k \leq s$,

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}B[T]+fB[T]}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B[T]) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < d - s \text{ or } q = 0. \quad (5.3.1)$$

In fact, the leading coefficient of f is a regular element on $H_{\mathfrak{n}}^{d-s} H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^q(B[T])$ because it acts on the injective envelope of $B/\mathfrak{n}$ as isomorphism. Taking the local cohomology of a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B[T] \xrightarrow{x_s T - x_{s+1}} B[T] \rightarrow B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

with respect to $(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1}) = (x_k, \dots, x_s, x_s T - x_{s+1})$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(B[T]) &\rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1})) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(B[T]) \rightarrow H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+2}(B[T]) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $(x_s, x_{s+1})H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(B) = 0$ by Theorem 4.8. This and (5.3.1) show that

$$H_{\mathfrak{n}B[T]+fB[T]}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1})) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s.$$

Taking the local cohomology of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{(x_s T - x_{s+1}) : \langle x_s \rangle}{(x_s T - x_{s+1})} \rightarrow B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1}) \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with respect to $(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})$, we obtain

$$H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(C_0) = H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(B[T]/(x_s T - x_{s+1})),$$

that is,

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_0}^p H_{(x_k, \dots, x_{s+1})}^{s-k+1}(C_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s. \quad (5.3.2)$$

We note that x_s is C_0 -regular. Put $k = s$. Then we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_0}^p H_{(x_s, x_{s+1})}^q(C_0) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < d - s \text{ or } q < 1.$$

By the spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{l}_0}^p H_{(x_s, x_{s+1})}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{l}_0}^{p+q}(-)$, we obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_0}^p(C_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s + 1, \quad (5.3.3)$$

that is, $\text{depth}(C_0)_{\mathfrak{l}_0} \geq d - s + 1$.

In the same way, we can show that $\text{depth}(C_1)_{\mathfrak{l}_1} \geq d - s + 1$ for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{l}_1$ of C_1 . Thus the first assertion is proved. In particular, Y is Cohen-Macaulay if $s = 1$.

Assume that A is Cohen-Macaulay. Using [8, Lemma 1] twice, we find that

$$x_{s+1}T_{s+2} - x_{s+2}, \dots, x_{s+1}T_d - x_d, x_sT_{s+1} - x_{s+1}$$

is a regular sequence on $A[T_{s+1}, \dots, T_d]$. Therefore

$$C_0 \cong A[T_{s+1}, \dots, T_d] / (x_{s+1}T_{s+2} - x_{s+2}, \dots, x_{s+1}T_d - x_d, x_sT_{s+1} - x_{s+1})$$

is Cohen-Macaulay. In the same way, we can show that C_1 is Cohen-Macaulay. The proof is completed. $\square$

In the rest of this section, we assume that $s \geq 2$ and let $\bar{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{b} : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle$.

Lemma 5.4. *For any positive integer n ,*

$$\bar{\mathfrak{b}}^n = \mathfrak{b}^n : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle = \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{b}^{n-1}[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}] + x_s^n \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1}].$$

In particular, $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}^2 = \mathfrak{b}\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$\mathfrak{b}^n : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{b}^{n-1}[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}] + x_s^n \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1}].$$

Take $a \in \mathfrak{b}^n : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (x_s, \dots, x_d)^{2n} : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle \\ &= (x_s, \dots, x_d)^{2n-1}[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}] \\ &= [\mathfrak{q}^{2n-1} + x_s \mathfrak{q}^{2n-2} + \dots + (x_s^{2n-1})][\mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1}] \\ &\subseteq \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{b}^{n-1}[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}] + (x_s^n). \end{aligned}$$

If we put $a = b + x_s^n a'$ where $b \in \mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{b}^{n-1}[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}]$, then $x_s^n a' \in \mathfrak{b}^n : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle$. Since $x_{s-1}^l x_s^n a' \in \mathfrak{b}^n$ for a sufficiently large l , we can put $x_{s-1}^l x_s^n a' = c + x_s^n d$ where $c \in \mathfrak{q}^{2n} + \dots + x_s^{n-1} \mathfrak{q}^{n+1}$ and $d \in \mathfrak{q}^n$. Then $x_{s-1}^l a' - d \in \mathfrak{q}^{n+1} : \langle x_s \rangle = \mathfrak{q}^n[\mathfrak{q} : x_s]$. Hence, $x_{s-1}^l a' \in \mathfrak{q}^n$ and $a' \in \mathfrak{q}^n : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle = \mathfrak{q}^{n-1}[\mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1}]$. The proof is completed. $\square$

Therefore the Rees algebra $\overline{S} = A[\overline{\mathfrak{b}}t]$ is finitely generated over S . Let $\overline{Y} = \text{Proj } \overline{S}$.

Proposition 5.5. $D_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^0(S_+) = \overline{S}_+$.

Proof. First show that x_{s-1}, x_s is an $\overline{S}_+$ -regular sequence. Let $n > 0$. It is clear that x_{s-1} is $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n$ -regular because it is A -regular. Let $a \in (x_{s-1}\overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n : x_s) \cap \overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n$. Then $x_{s-1}^l a \in \mathfrak{b}^n$ for a sufficiently large l . Since $x_s a \in (x_{s-1})$ and $x_s, \dots, x_d$ is a d-sequence on $A/x_{s-1}^{l+1}A$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{s-1}^l a &\in (x_{s-1}^{l+1}) : x_s \cap \mathfrak{b}^n \\ &\subseteq (x_{s-1}^{l+1}) : x_s \cap (x_{s-1}^{l+1}, x_s, \dots, x_d) \\ &= (x_{s-1}^{l+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a \in (x_{s-1})$. If we put $a = x_{s-1}a'$, then $a' \in \mathfrak{b}^n : x_{s-1}^{l+1} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n$, that is, $a \in x_{s+1}\overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n$. Thus we have proved that x_s is $\overline{S}_+/x_{s-1}\overline{S}_+$ -regular.

By (2.1.1), we have

$$D_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^0(S_+) \subseteq D_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^0(\overline{S}_+) = \overline{S}_+. \quad (5.5.1)$$

Since $\mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} : x_s$ by Theorem 4.2, $(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)\overline{\mathfrak{b}}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{b}^n$ for all $n > 0$ by Lemma 5.4, that is, $(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)\overline{S}_+ \subseteq S_+$. We have shown the inverse inclusion of (5.5.1). $\square$

The following theorem is one of main aims of this section.

Theorem 5.6. *With notation as above,*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Y}, \overline{q}} \geq d - s + 2 \quad \text{for any closed point } \overline{q} \text{ of } \overline{Y}.$$

In particular, if $s = 2$, then $\overline{Y}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We have only to compute the depth of

$$\overline{C}_0 = A[\overline{\mathfrak{b}}/x_s x_{s+1}] \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{C}_1 = A[\overline{\mathfrak{b}}/x_{s+1}^2].$$

Proposition 5.5 says that $\overline{C}_i = D_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^0(C_i)$ and it is a finitely generated C_i -module for $i = 0, 1$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_i$ be a maximal ideal of $\overline{C}_i$ and $\mathfrak{l}_i = \tilde{\mathfrak{l}}_i \cap C_i$. Then $\mathfrak{l}_i$ is a maximal ideal of C_i because $\overline{C}_i$ is integral over C_i . We use (5.3.2) as $k = s - 1$, that is,

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^2(C_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s. \quad (5.6.1)$$

By using (2.1.2), we obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^q(\overline{C}_i) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < d - s \text{ or } q < 2.$$

By the spectral sequence $E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^{p+q}(-)$, we find

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p(\overline{C}_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s + 2, \quad (5.6.2)$$

that is, $\text{depth}(\overline{C}_i)_{\mathfrak{l}_i} \geq d - s + 2$. Thus the proof is completed. $\square$

The following corollary shall be used in the next section.

Corollary 5.7. *If $A/(x_s, \dots, x_d)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{Y,q} \geq d - s + 2 \quad \text{for any closed point } q \text{ of } Y.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove $\overline{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathfrak{b}$. Let $a \in \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ and l be an integer such that $x_{s-1}^l a \in \mathfrak{b}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (x_s, \dots, x_d)^2 : x_{s-1}^l \\ &= (x_s, \dots, x_d)[(x_s, \dots, x_d) : x_{s-1}^l] \\ &= (x_s, \dots, x_d)^2 = \mathfrak{b} + (x_s^2) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Hence, we may assume that $a \in (x_s^2)$. Let $a = x_s^2 a'$. Since $x_{s-1}^l a \in \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, $a' \in \mathfrak{q} : x_{s-1}^l x_s^2 = \mathfrak{q} : x_s$ by Theorem 4.2. Hence $a = x_s^2 a' \in x_s \mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{b}$. $\square$

We shall give another Macaulayfication of $\text{Spec } A$ by considering an ideal $\mathfrak{c} = (x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)\mathfrak{b}$. Let $Z = \text{Proj } A[\mathfrak{c}t]$, which is the blowing-up of Y with center $(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)\mathcal{O}_Y$.

Theorem 5.8. *With notation as above,*

$$\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{Z,r} \geq d - s + 2 \quad \text{for any closed point } r \text{ of } Z.$$

Furthermore, if $s = 2$ or A is Cohen-Macaulay, then Z is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. Since $(x_{s-1}x_s, x_s^2)\mathfrak{q} + x_{s-1}(x_{s+1}^2, \dots, x_d^2) + (x_{s+1}^3, \dots, x_d^3)$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{c}$, we have only to compute the depth of

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= A[\mathfrak{c}/x_{s-1}x_sx_{s+1}] = C_0[x_s/x_{s-1}], \\ D_1 &= A[\mathfrak{c}/x_s^2x_{s+1}] = C_0[x_{s-1}/x_s], \\ D_2 &= A[\mathfrak{c}/x_{s-1}x_{s+1}^2] = C_1[x_{s+1}/x_{s-1}], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_3 = A[\mathfrak{c}/x_{s+1}^3] = C_1[x_{s-1}/x_{s+1}].$$

For $i = 0$ or 1 , let $\mathfrak{l}_i$ be a maximal ideal of C_i . By (2.1.1), there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow \overline{C}_i \rightarrow H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^1(C_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

By using (5.3.3) and (5.6.2), we obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^1(C_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } p < d - s.$$

Furthermore, $(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)\overline{C}_i \subseteq C_i$: see the proof of Proposition 5.5. Therefore, by (5.6.1), we have

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_i}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^q(C_i) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < d - s \text{ or } q = 0 \quad (5.8.1)$$

and

$$(x_{s-1}, \dots, x_d)H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s, x_{s+1})}^1(C_i) = 0. \quad (5.8.2)$$

Therefore we can prove

$$\text{depth}(D_i)_{\mathfrak{r}_i} \geq d - s + 2$$

for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{r}_i$ of D_i and $i = 0, \dots, 3$ in the same way as Theorem 5.3.

To make sure, we compute the depth of $D_0 \cong C_0[T]/(x_{s-1}T - x_s) : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle$. First we note that $x_{s+1} \in x_s C_0$ and $x_{s+1} \in x_s D_0$. Let $\mathfrak{r}_0$ be a maximal ideal of D_0 and $\mathfrak{l}_0 = \mathfrak{r}_0 \cap C_0$. Then $\mathfrak{l}_0$ is a maximal ideal of C_0 and there exists a polynomial f over C_0 such that $\mathfrak{r}_0 = \mathfrak{l}_0 D_0 + f D_0$ and the leading coefficient of f is not contained in $\mathfrak{l}_0$. We obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_0 C_0[T] + f C_0[T]}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^q(C_0[T]) = 0 \quad \text{if } p < d - s + 1 \text{ or } q = 0$$

from (5.8.1). Taking the local cohomology of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_0[T] \xrightarrow{x_{s-1}T - x_s} C_0[T] \rightarrow C_0[T]/(x_{s-1}T - x_s) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^1(C_0[T]) &\rightarrow H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^1(C_0[T]/(x_{s-1}T - x_s)) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^2(C_0[T]) \rightarrow H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^2(C_0[T]) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

because of (5.8.2). This says that

$$H_{\mathfrak{l}_0 C_0[T] + f C_0[T]}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^1(C_0[T]/(x_{s-1}T - x_s)) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s + 1.$$

Taking the local cohomology of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{(x_{s-1}T - x_s) : \langle x_{s-1} \rangle}{(x_{s-1}T - x_s)} \rightarrow C_0[T]/(x_{s-1}T - x_s) \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with respect to (x_{s-1}, x_s) , we obtain

$$H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^1(D_0) = 0 \quad \text{for } p < d - s + 1.$$

Of course, $H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^0(D_0) = 0$. By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}^p H_{(x_{s-1}, x_s)}^q(-) \Rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}^{p+q}(-),$$

we get $H_{\mathfrak{r}_0}^p(D_0) = 0$ for any $p < d - s + 2$. That is, $\text{depth}(D_0)_{\mathfrak{r}_0} \geq d - s + 2$.

The last assertion is also proved in the same way as Theorem 5.3. $\square$

6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let A be a Noetherian ring possessing a dualizing complex and X a quasi-projective scheme over A . That is, X is a dense open subscheme of $X^* = \text{Proj } R$ where $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ is a Noetherian graded ring such that R_0 is a homomorphic image of A and R is generated by R_1 as an R_0 -algebra. Let V^* be the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of X^* and $U^* = X^* \setminus V^*$. Of course $V = V^* \cap X$ is the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of X . Let $D^\bullet$ be a dualizing complex of R with codimension function v . Assume that X satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.1.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$v(\mathfrak{p}) = 0 \quad \text{for all associated prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } R: \quad (6.0.1)$$

see [8, p. 191]. Then the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ of $p \in X$ satisfies the assumption of Section 5, that is, $\dim \mathcal{O}_{X,p}/\mathfrak{p} = \dim \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for any associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,p}$. For the sake of completeness, we sketch out the proof. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a homogeneous ideal of R such that $V^* = V(\mathfrak{a})$. Then the closed immersion $\text{Proj } R/H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(R) \rightarrow X^*$ is birational as follows. For any minimal prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R , $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ because $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence the underlying set of $\text{Proj } R/H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(R)$ coincides with the one of X^* . Furthermore, $f^{-1}(U^*) \rightarrow U^*$ is an isomorphism and U^* is dense in X^* . By replacing R by $R/H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(R)$, we may assume that

$$\text{every associated prime ideal of } R \text{ is minimal.} \quad (6.0.2)$$

Next we fix a primary decomposition of (0) in R . For all integer i , let $\mathfrak{q}_i$ be the intersection of all primary component $\mathfrak{q}$ of (0) such that $v(\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}}) = i$. Then $g: \coprod_i \text{Proj } R/\mathfrak{q}_i \rightarrow X^*$ is a finite morphism and $g^{-1}(U^*) \rightarrow U^*$ is an isomorphism as follows. Note that $\mathfrak{q}_i = R$ for all but finitely many i . Furthermore, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in U^*$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_i$ if and only if $v(\mathfrak{p}) - \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = i$ because $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, hence equidimensional. Therefore U^* is the disjoint union of $U^* \cap V(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. Moreover $R_{(\mathfrak{p})} = [R/\mathfrak{q}_i]_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ if $\mathfrak{p} \in U^* \cap V(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. Because of (6.0.2), $g^{-1}(U^*)$ and U^* are dense in $\text{Proj } R/\mathfrak{q}_i$ and X^* , respectively. Thus $g^{-1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is birational proper and the connected components of $g^{-1}(X)$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.1.

Since u is locally constant, $V_i = u^{-1}(i) \cap V$ is closed for any positive integer i . We put $s_i = \dim V_i$. By (6.0.1), we find that $V_1 = \emptyset$, $s_2 \leq 0$ and $s_3 \leq 1$. Let d be the largest integer such that $V_d \neq \emptyset$ and $s = s_d$. We shall give a closed subscheme W of X such that $V_d = V \cap W$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $q \in \pi^{-1}(W)$ where $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is the blowing-up of X with center W . Let $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i>0} \text{ann } H^i(D^\bullet)$, which is finite product. Then it is obvious that $V^* = V(\mathfrak{a})$. Fix a primary decomposition of $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $\mathfrak{a}_d$ be the intersection of all primary component $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{q}} \in V_d$. Then we can take homogeneous elements $z_1, \dots, z_d \in R$ such that

$$V_i \cap V((z_{d-s_i}, \dots, z_d)) = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i < d \quad (6.0.3)$$

$$d(\mathfrak{p}) = d \quad \text{for all minimal prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } R/(z_1, \dots, z_d) : \langle R_+ \rangle \quad (6.0.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} z_{s+1}, \dots, z_d \in \mathfrak{a}_d; \\ z_i \in \prod_{j>d-i} \text{ann } H^j(\text{Hom}(R/(z_{i+1}, \dots, z_d), D^\bullet)), \quad \text{for } i \leq s \end{cases} \quad (6.0.5)$$

in the same way as Section 4. We put

$$\mathfrak{b} = \begin{cases} (z_1, \dots, z_d), & \text{if } s = 0; \\ (z_1, \dots, z_d)(z_2, \dots, z_d), & \text{if } s = 1; \\ (z_1, \dots, z_d)(z_2, \dots, z_d)(z_3, \dots, z_d), & \text{if } s = 2 \end{cases}$$

and prove that $W = V(\mathfrak{b}) \cap X$ satisfies the required properties.

Because of (6.0.3), $V_i \cap W = \emptyset$ for $i < d$. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blowing-up of X with center W , q a closed point of $\pi^{-1}(W)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq R$ the image of q . Take an element $y \in R_1 \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ and put $x_i = z_i/y^{\deg z_i}$ for all i . Since $(D^\bullet)_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is a dualizing complex of $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} x_{s+1}, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{a}_{R_{(\mathfrak{p})}}(R_{(\mathfrak{p})}); \\ x_i \in \mathfrak{a}_{R_{(\mathfrak{p})}}(R_{(\mathfrak{p})}/(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d)), \quad \text{for } i \leq s. \end{cases}$$

from (6.0.5).

When $s = 2$, there exist three cases: If $z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $x_1, \dots, x_d$ is a system of parameters for $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ satisfying (4.0.1) or a regular sequence on the Cohen-Macaulay ring $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Since $\mathfrak{b}_{(\mathfrak{p})} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_2, \dots, x_d)(x_3, \dots, x_d)$, $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 5.8.

If $z_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$ but $z_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $x_2, \dots, x_d$ is a subsystem of parameters for $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ satisfying (4.0.1) or a regular sequence on the Cohen-Macaulay ring $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Furthermore $\mathfrak{b}_{(\mathfrak{p})} = (x_2, \dots, x_d)(x_2, \dots, x_d)$ and $R_{(\mathfrak{p})}/(x_2, \dots, x_d)$ is Cohen-Macaulay because $x_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{R_{(\mathfrak{p})}}(R_{(\mathfrak{p})}/(x_2, \dots, x_d))$ is a unit. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Corollary 5.7.

If $z_1, z_2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $x_3, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{a}_{R(\mathfrak{p})}(R(\mathfrak{p}))$ is a subsystem of parameters for $R(\mathfrak{p})$ and $R(\mathfrak{p})/(x_3, \dots, x_d)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Since $\mathfrak{b}_{(\mathfrak{p})} = (x_3, \dots, x_d)$, $\mathcal{O}_{Y,q}$ is Cohen-Macaulay by Theorem 5.1.

When $s = 0$ or 1 , we can prove the assertion in the same way as above.

By repeating this procedure, we obtain a Macaulayfication of X . We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Professor S. Goto for his helpful discussions and to Professor K. Kurano for careful reading of the draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brodmann, *Kohomologische Eigenschaften von Aufblasungen an lokal vollständigen Durchschnitten*, 1980, Habilitationsschrift, Münster.
- [2] ———, *Einige Ergebnisse aus der lokalen Kohomologietheorie und ihre Anwendungen*, Osnabrücker Schriften zur Mathematik **5** (1983).
- [3] ———, *Local cohomology of certain Rees- and form-rings I*, J. Algebra **81** (1983), 29–57.
- [4] ———, *Two types of birational modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 388–415.
- [5] ———, *Local cohomology of certain Rees- and form-rings II*, J. Algebra **86** (1984), 457–493.
- [6] N. T. Cuong, *On the dimension of the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of local rings admitting dualizing complexes*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **109** (1991), 479–488.
- [7] G. Faltings, *Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **30** (1978), 473–476.
- [8] ———, *Über Macaulayifizierung*, Math. Ann. **238** (1978), 175–192.
- [9] S. Goto, *Blowing-up of Buchsbaum rings*, Commutative Algebra: Durham 1981, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes, vol. 72, Cambridge University Press, 1982, pp. 140–162.
- [10] S. Goto and K. Yamagishi, *The theory of unconditioned strong d -sequences and modules of finite local cohomology*, unpublished.
- [11] R. Hartshorne, *Residue and duality*, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
- [12] ———, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [13] C. Huneke, *The theory of d -sequences and powers of ideals*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), 249–279.
- [14] T. Marley, *Finitely graded local cohomology and the depths of Rees algebras*, Commutative Algebra, International Conference, Vechta, 1994 (W. Bruns et al., eds.), Vechtaer Universitätsschriften, 1994, pp. 128–132.
- [15] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [16] P. Schenzel, *Einige Anwendungen der lokalen Dualität und verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Nachr. **69** (1975), 227–242.

- [17] ———, *Dualizing complexes and system of parameters*, J. Algebra **58** (1979), 495–501.
- [18] ———, *Cohomological annihilators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **91** (1982), 345–350.
- [19] ———, *Dualisierende Komplexe in der lokalen Algebra und Buchsbaum ringe*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 907, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1982.
- [20] ———, *Standard system of parameters and their blowing-up rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **344** (1983), 201–220.
- [21] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, HACHIOJI MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, TOKYO 192-03 JAPAN

E-mail address: kawasaki@math.metro-u.ac.jp